Attorney Docket No: FHR-103

In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

Application No.: Not assigned
Filed: Filed herewith
Title: Hook-Up Assistant

Applicant(s): Hook-Op Assistant
Frederick Hayes-Roth

Examiner: Not assigned Art Unit: Not assigned

Mailed 14 Aug. 2003
Palo Alto, CA

Information Disclosure Statement

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, District of Columbia 20231

Dear Sir or Madam:

Attached is a completed Form PTO-1449 and copies of the pertinent parts of the references cited thereon. It is requested that the document(s) on the enclosed form be made of record.

Part I (Authority)

This statement is filed pursuant to:

(X) 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b).

This information disclosure statement is filed either (1) within three months of the filing date of the national applications; (2) within three months of the date of entry of the national stage as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.491 in an international application; or (3) before the mailing date of a first office action on the merits, whichever event occurs last.

Accordingly, this information disclosure statement requires no fee and no certification.

() 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c).

This information disclosure statement is filed after the period specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b), but before the mailing date of either (1) a final action under 37 C.F.R. § 1.113 or (2) a notice of allowance under 37 C.F.R. § 1.311.

Accordingly, this information disclosure statement requires either the fee specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) for submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c) (\$180), or a statement according to 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e).

() 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(d).

This information disclosure statement is filed after the period specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c).

Accordingly, this information disclosure statement requires either the fee specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) for submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c) (\$180), and a statement according to 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e).

Conditional Petition

It is respectfully requested that this information disclosure statement be considered, good cause being presented in Part III herein (certification). please treat this paper as the required petition.

If this statement crosses in the mail with an office action, or is otherwise not in the indicated category of 37 C.F.R. § 1.97, it is respectfully requested that this statement be treated in the next appropriate category and made of record.

To the extent required, please treat this paper as a conditional petition for acceptance of the information disclosure statement.

Part II (Payment)

A check is enclosed as indicated:

- (X) No fee is due.
- () The fee specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) for submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c) is enclosed \$180).
- () The petition fee specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) to consider an information disclosure statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(d) is enclosed (\$180).

Part III (Statement)

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e), I certify:

- (X) No statement is necessary.
- () (1) Each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the statement.
 - () The "communication from a foreign patent office" referred to in the certification is an International Search Report, possibly issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office in its capacity as an International Search Authority or International Preliminary Examining Authority.
 - () The "counterpart foreign application" referred to in the certification corresponds to an ancestor or descendent application of the application for which this information disclosure statement is filed.
- () (2) No item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, or, to my knowledge after making reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c), more than three months prior to the filing of the statement.

Part IV (Additional Statement)

An additional statement regarding these items of information () is, (X) is not, enclosed.

Copies of the cited art (X) are enclosed, () are of record in parent application Serial No. _____ and will be provided if the Examiner deems it convenient.

Dated: 14 AUG. 2003

Respectfully submitted,

Katharina Wang Schuster

Latharina Schust

Reg. No. 50,000

2345 Yale Street, 2nd Floor

Palo Alto, CA 94036

tel: 650-424-0100 fax: 650-424-0141

FORM PTO-1449U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ATTY. DOCKET NO. SERIAL NO. FHR-103														ERIAL NO.	
LIST OF PRIOR ART CITED BY APPLICANT (Use several sheets if necessary) APPLICANT Frederick Hayes-Roth															
											FILING DATE		G	GROUP	
									U.S.	PATEN	T DO	OCUMENTS	•		
EXAMINER INITIAL	DOCUMENT NUMBER								DA	DATE		NAME		RELEVANT INFORMATION	
	A	5	8	1	5	5	6	6	9/29/98		Ramot et al.		379/265		
	В	6	5	7	4	4	7	1	1/3/03		Rydbeck		45	455/418	
	С	6	3	8	1	5	8	0	4/30/02		Levinson		70	705/8	
_	D	6	3	6	0	1	7	2	3/19/02		Burfeind et al.		70	702/2	
	E	6	0	3	5	2	7	8	3/7/00		Mansour		70	705/9	
	F	6	3	4	5	2	6	0	2/5/02		Cun	Cummings, Jr. et al.		705/8	
	G	5_	1	9	7	0	0	0	3/23/93		Vin	Vincent		364/401	
	н	5_	0	5	0	0	7	7	9/17/91		Vine	ncent		364/401	
									FOREI	GN PAT	ENT	DOCUMENTS			
	let co				DOCUMENT I				NUMBER DATE			COUNTRY		TRANSLATION	
	I											YES NO			
	J										_				
		(ro	Ή	ER	P	RI	OR	ART (In	cluding A	Autho	or, Title, Date, Pertinent Pa	ges,]	Etc.)	
	K		Α	hm	ed	As	shii	et	al., "A M	leeting sc	ling system for global even	its on	the internet,"		
<u></u>	-	_	G	ene	va	ı, <u>S</u>	WII	zei	land, July	1998.	-				
	L		Sandip Sen, "An automated distributed meeting scheduler," IEEE Expert, Vol. 12, No. 4, pp. 41-45, July/August 1997.												
	M	M Sandip Sen et al., "Satisfying user preferences while negotiating meetings," International													
	47#	Journal of Human-Computer Studies, Vol. 47, pp. 407-427, 1997.													
	N	Sandip Sen et al., "A formal study of distributed meeting scheduling," Group Decision and													
		Negotiation, Vol. 7, pp.265-289, 1998.													
	0	Amie Capone, "Meeting Maker, Inc. Releases scheduling Web services toolkit," retrieved on													
		8/12/03. Retrieved from the internet: < URL:													
			nt	tp:/	//W	W	v.n	nee	tingmake	r.com/nes	s/200	30121.cfm>.			
EXAMINER												DATE CONSIDERED			
* EXAMIN	ER: I	nitia	l if	refe	erer	ice	con	side	ered, wheth	er or not cit	tation	is in conformance with MPEP 6	09: Di	raw line through citation if	
												next communication to applicar		in including the control in	